

Spread the True Meaning of Olmstead: Preserving Choice and Opportunity

Recently, the Department of Justice (DOJ), and the Administration for Community Living (ACL) issued press releases celebrating the 17th Anniversary of the *Olmstead* decision. VOR shares their view that there is much to celebrate in opening doors to community living for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities (I/DD) who are able and wish to take advantage of such opportunities. Unfortunately, their ideological preoccupation with one key part of *Olmstead*, community integration, at the expense of the other key part, choice, has reduced options for all people with I/DD. This crimped and, VOR would submit, inaccurate application of the plain language of *Olmstead* has done significant harm to many of our most disabled citizens.

By insisting that *all* people with I/DD live and work in the community, the DOJ and ACL are treating people with I/DD as a monolithic group, not as the individuals they are. DOJ and ACL are substituting the wishes of the government for that of the person with I/DD or, where relevant, the legal guardian. While their policies have opened doors for the less severely disabled, they have closed important doors for the more severely disabled. Many of these individuals have lifelong needs that require higher levels of care, the kind often found only in public and private Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICFs/IID), sheltered workshops and facility-based day programs.

DOJ and ACL are pursuing this agenda in the name of the ADA and *Olmstead*, but that is not what the ADA and *Olmstead* call for. Both the statute and the Supreme Court case embody the best values of American society — nondiscrimination and choice. All of our civil rights laws contain both elements. Civil rights laws do not tell people where they must live or work. They guarantee individuals are not denied opportunities based on a particular factor, and they expand choices for individuals who have suffered discrimination. In sharp contrast, DOJ and ACL are using federal dollars to bring lawsuits and promote policies that needlessly and dangerously eliminate important options – ICFs/IID, sheltered workshops and facility-based day programs – that many of our most disabled individuals rely on and prefer over small community settings.

In pursuing its one-size-fits-all ideology, DOJ and ACL are ignoring the vital right of choice embodied in the ADA itself. As the Court stated in *Olmstead*:

"We emphasize that nothing in the ADA or its implementing regulations condones termination of institutional settings for persons unable to handle or benefit from community settings Nor is there any federal requirement that community-based treatment be imposed on patients who do not desire it." Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581, 601-602 (1999)

In fact, the Court specifically incorporated the right of choice in the second prong of its holding:

"(b) the transfer from institutional care to a less restrictive setting is not opposed by the affected individual," Olmstead, at 587

Even DOJ and ACL's concept of what constitutes the most integrated setting contradicts the view of Justice Ginsburg:

"For some individuals, no placement outside the institution may ever be appropriate. 'Some individuals, whether mentally retarded or mentally ill, are not prepared at particular times - perhaps in the short run, perhaps in the long run - for the risks and exposure of the less protective environment of community settings;' for these persons, 'institutional settings are needed and must remain available.'"... Each disabled person is entitled to treatment in the most integrated setting possible for that person — recognizing on a case-by-case basis, that setting may be an institution" Olmstead, at 605

Not only are DOJ and ACL ignoring the plain language of governing law and decision, they are ignoring the harmful effects of their policies. Journalists have time and again documented the high rates of abuse and mortality for our most disabled citizens who have been forced out of congregate care facilities into unprepared communities¹. Federal agencies should stop dictating the lives of the severely disabled, and instead, join hands with the community of individuals with I/DD to ensure that all people with I/DD receive quality services and supports wherever they choose to reside and work.

The ADA and *Olmstead* are the beacons for providing more opportunities for people with I/DD, but they do not dictate one-size-fits-all solutions. Individual choice among the widest possible range of quality living and occupational options is what is necessary to realize the goals of the ADA and Olmstead. VOR supports the full reading of *Olmstead*, making individual choice of services paramount and ensuring a full range of living and work options in order to meet the spectrum of needs of this very diverse population.

Help VOR spread the true meaning of Olmstead. Participate in our advocacy campaign and send this letter to your state and federal officials.

Go to: www.vor.net/legislative-voice/action-alerts

Click on: Spread the True Meaning of Olmstead: Preserving Choice and Opportunity

¹ VOR Abuse and Neglect Document: http://www.vor.net/get-help/more-resources/item/abuse-and-neglect-document